



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,010	10/30/2003	Michael E. Landry	5259-10704	7409
23492	7590	04/20/2007		
ROBERT DEBERARDINE ABBOTT LABORATORIES 100 ABBOTT PARK ROAD DEPT. 377/AP6A ABBOTT PARK, IL 60064-6008			EXAMINER SWIGER III, JAMES L	
			ART UNIT 3733	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/698,010	Applicant(s) LANDRY ET AL.	
	Examiner James L. Swiger	Art Unit 3733	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21-26, 28, 30, 31 and 34-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 35-38 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-26, 28, 30, 31 and 34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10/7/2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informalities: It is suggested to change in line 9 "allow" to --allows--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21-22, 25-26 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lutz (US 6,183,472). Lutz discloses a pedicle screw and assembly having a body (see fig. 4), arms extending from the body (portions adjacent to 26 and 28) each having internal threading (20) and each having an outer flange (16 and 18) that are capable of being attached to a detachable member (64 and 66). The device also includes a slot (34) for receiving an elongated member. Lutz further discloses a sleeve (42), a collar wherein the effective diameter of the body is larger than the diameter of the arms (see Fig. 4; body width at 14 compared to arm width at 18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the

Art Unit: 3733

subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lutz '472 in view of Biedermann '467. Lutz discloses the claimed invention except for a ring and a collar that is capable of rotating with respect to a bone fastener.

Biedermann discloses a pressure disk that is considered a ring (18) that enables the collar and bone anchor to be slidably disposed and be more secured (Col. 3, lines 45-62). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Lutz having at least a ring and a collar and fastener able to angulate when being slidably disposed in view of Biedermann to enable the collar and bone anchor to be slidably disposed and be more secured.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lutz in view of Mellinger et al. (US 6,302,888). Lutz discloses the claimed invention except for flanges with an indentation, a female modified thread comprising a female proximal surface, and a male modified thread comprising a male proximal surface and a male distal surface, wherein the male proximal surface is configured to couple with the female distal surface, a male modified thread that slopes forward at an angle, all of modifications of which inhibit separation of the arms.

Mellinger et al. disclose an indentation (34) capable of associating with the flanges that allow the vertical faces to associate with an instrument in use (col. 4, lines 18-28), both male and female modified threads (See Fig. 6, items 40 and 50) that, with

Art Unit: 3733

their respective proximal and distal sides, are capable of coupling with one another to better secure the arms in place (Col. 4, lines 28-42). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Lutz having at least an indentation and a male/female modified thread in view of Mellinger et al. to allow a more secure attachment to an instrument and to better secure the arms, respectively.

Claims 30-31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lutz '472 in view of Jackson (US 6,368,321). Lutz discloses the claimed invention except for one or more threaded openings proximate to at least one of the flanges. Jackson discloses a device having two threaded bores (53 and 54) that allow for better securement to a "ball-shaped member" or in the instant case, a rod member (Col. 4, lines 63-67 through Col. 5, lines 1-5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Lutz having at least threaded openings in the side of the collar to allow attachment and better securing of the rod member in use.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 35-38 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/16/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is still held that the claims read over the prior art. Particularly, the art still teaches a flange with an indentation that allows for a detachable member that would align with a slot in the collar.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James L. Swiger whose telephone number is 571-272-5557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

 4/16/07
JLS


EDUARDO C. ROBERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER